

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

COPY MAILED

Paper No.

SEP 0 4 2009

JONES DAY 222 EAST 41ST ST NEW YORK NY 10017

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Storer et al. : DECISION ON

Application No. 10/608,907 : PETITION PURSUANT TO

Filed: June 27, 2003 : 37 C.F.R. § 1.182

Attorney Docket No. 11874-055-999 : Title: MODIFIED 2' AND 3'-NUCLEOSIDE: PRODRUGS FOR TREATING FLAVIVIRIDAE : INFECTIONS :

This is in response to the petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.182, requesting the withdrawal of a terminal disclaimer, filed on July 2, 2009.

This petition is **GRANTED**.

On July 24, 2008, Petitioner submitted a terminal disclaimer to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection over pending U.S. application number 11/005,445, in a non-final Office action mailed January 28, 2008.

With this petition, Petitioner has submitted the petition fee and a request that the terminal disclaimer that is associated with pending U.S. application number 11/005,445 be withdrawn.

The Examiner has reviewed Petitioner's request, and has determined that the previously filed terminal disclaimer should be withdrawn. An Office communication from the Examiner has been enclosed with this decision.

The previously filed terminal disclaimer is hereby WITHDRAWN.

The Technology Center will be notified of this decision, and jurisdiction over this application is transferred to the Technology Center, so that the application may receive further processing. The Technology Center's support staff will notify

the Examiner of this decision, so that the present application can receive further processing in due course.

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3225. All other inquiries concerning examination procedures or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

Paul Shanoski

Senior Attorney

Office of Petitions

Encl. Office communication

¹ Petitioner will note that all practice before the Office should be in writing, and the action of the Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.2. As such, Petitioner is reminded that no telephone discussion may be controlling or considered authority for any further action(s) of Petitioner.